

**REMARKS**

Applicant notes with appreciation the Notice of Allowance dated November 27, 2007.

Since all independent claims are allowed, all the non-cancelled claims are believed to be allowed. (Note: it is claims 13, 16, 20, 30, 33, 51, and 55 that have been previously cancelled.)

In this response, claims 1, 9, 10, 14, and 15 have been amended to correct minor errors in the Examiner's Amendment entered. A "CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS" section has been added to make explicit the priority claim already on record. No new matter has been added.

I. **SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the courtesy extended during the September 26, 2007 telephone interview in which Applicant's representatives Stephen Schreiner (Reg. No. 43,097) and Ce Li (Reg. No. L0214) participated.

The discussion focused on independent claim 1. The Examiner suggested improvements on the language of claim 1 as it then stood. Applicant's representatives proposed amendment to claim 1. The Examiner approved of the proposed amendment and agreed to apply it similarly to the rest of the independent claims. An agreement was reached for Applicant to forward a copy of the proposed amendment via fax or email and for the Examiner to enter the amendment.

To a large extent, the Examiner's Amendment mailed with the Notice of Allowance accurately reflects the proposed amendment agreed upon during the telephone interview and subsequently forwarded to the Examiner. There are only a few minor errors which are now corrected with the claim amendment filed herein. Specifically, there are a few omissions of words in the Examiner's amendment of claims 1, 8, and 9 as indicated on pages 2 and 3 of the

Notice of Allowance. In addition, the proposed amendment to claims 14 and 15 was inadvertently omitted from the Examiner's Amendment.

It is believed that the current amendment does not alter the scope of the allowable claims and will not necessitate any additional search of prior art.

II. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER


Applicant would like to point out that what the Examiner indicates as the allowable feature is not the only claim limitation which would distinguish the present invention over prior art.

III. CONCLUSION

Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper to Deposit Account No. 07-1700, and please credit any excess fees to the same deposit account.

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place the application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,  
Goodwin|Procter LLP



Ce Li  
Registration No. L0214

Goodwin|Procter LLP  
901 New York Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 346-4294

**Dated:** February 27, 2008